

QMW 93-25

hep-th/9310014

The WZNW Model By A Perturbation Of Witten's Conformal Solution

Oleg A. Soloviev ^{*†}

*Physics Department, Queen Mary and Westfield College,
Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom*

Abstract

The WZNW model at the Witten conformal point is perturbed by the σ -model term. It is shown that in the large level k limit the perturbed WZNW system with negative k arrives at the WZNW model with positive k .

September 1993

^{*}e-mail: soloviev@V1.PH.QMW.ac.uk

[†]Work supported by S.E.R.C.

The Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model [1-4] is a σ -model extended by the Wess-Zumino term [5]. The action of the theory is written as follows [2]

$$S = (1/4\lambda^2) \int d^2x Tr(\partial_\mu g \partial^\mu g^{-1}) + k\Gamma, \quad (1)$$

where g is the matrix field taking its values on the Lie group G ; k is the level of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ associated with the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of the given Lie group G ; λ is a σ -model coupling constant; Γ is the Wess-Zumino term [5]. For compact groups the Wess-Zumino term is well defined only modulo 2π [2], therefore, the parameter k must be an integer in order for the quantum theory to be single valued with the multivalued classical action. For noncompact groups the level may be arbitrary.

The remarkable property of the WZNW model, due to Witten, is that at the particular value of its coupling constant

$$\lambda^2 = |4\pi/k| \quad (2)$$

both the affine and conformal symmetries of the classical theory are promoted to the quantum level, making the system be exactly soluble [2-4]. It is interesting that Witten has found the exact value of the fixed point from the one loop renormalization beta function [2]. To be sure that it was not a miracle the two loop calculations have been done as well [6]. They demonstrated that Witten's solution remains exact up to two loops within the path integral formalism. Moreover, at the Witten conformal point, the theory can be quantized nonperturbatively either within the algebraic current-current approach [3] or by means of the free field representation method [7].

The aim of the present paper is to display that the WZNW model with negative k flows to the WZNW model with positive k .

Let us consider the theory with the following action

$$S = S^* + \epsilon \int d^2z (k^2/4) Tr(\partial g \cdot \bar{\partial} g^{-1}), \quad (3)$$

where S^* is the WZNW action at the Witten conformal point; ϵ is a small parameter. For our purposes it is more convenient to use complex coordinates. For example, the action S^* in eq. (3) in these coordinates takes the form

$$S^* = -(k/4\pi) \{ (1/2) \int d^2z Tr |g^{-1} dg|^2 + (i/3) \int d^{-1} Tr (g^{-1} dg)^3 \}. \quad (4)$$

Note that at the classical level the action in eq. (3) follows directly from eq. (1) by setting the coupling constant $1/\lambda^2$ to the specific value $(k/8\pi) + \epsilon k^2/4$.

At the quantum level the WZNW model S^* is an exact conformal theory. Therefore, the second term in eq. (3) appears to be a certain perturbation to the conformal system S^* . To first order in ϵ , we can write

$$S - S^* = -\epsilon \int d^2z : \phi^{a\bar{a}} \cdot J_a \cdot \bar{J}_{\bar{a}} :, \quad (5)$$

where in the r.h.s we have changed the classical σ -model perturbation by a normal ordered product of the following three operators

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{a\bar{a}} &= Tr(g^{-1}t^a g t^{\bar{a}}), \\ J_a &= (1/2)\eta_{ab}Tr(kg^{-1}\partial g t^b), \\ \bar{J}_{\bar{a}} &= (1/2)\eta_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}Tr(k\bar{\partial} g g^{-1}t^{\bar{b}}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Apparently, in the leading order in ϵ we can define normal ordering in eq. (5) with respect to the conformal model S^* by the rule

$$O(z, \bar{z}) \equiv: \phi^{a\bar{a}} \cdot J_a \cdot \bar{J}_{\bar{a}} : (z, \bar{z}) = \oint \frac{dw}{2\pi} \oint \frac{d\bar{w}}{2\pi} \frac{J_a(w) \cdot \bar{J}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{w}) \cdot \phi^{a\bar{a}}(z, \bar{z})}{|z - w|^2}. \quad (7)$$

In the numerator of eq. (7) the product is understood as an operator product expansion (OPE). In the theory S^* the operators $\phi^{a\bar{a}}$ in (6) are primary operators. Therefore, all correlation functions built of the operator O can be computed by using the Ward identities [3, 8]. Of course, such normal ordering can get corrected by terms of higher order in ϵ . With respect to S^* the operators in eq. (6) have the following conformal dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_\phi, \bar{\Delta}_\phi) &= \left(\frac{c_2}{c_2 + k}, \frac{c_2}{c_2 + k} \right), \\ (\Delta_J, \bar{\Delta}_J) &= (1, 0), \\ (\Delta_{\bar{J}}, \bar{\Delta}_{\bar{J}}) &= (0, 1), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with c_2 the quadratic Casimir operator eigenvalue in the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

Let us turn now to the large $|k|$ limit. It is not hard to see that in this limit the perturbation operator becomes a quasimarginal operator with anomalous dimension

$$(\Delta, \bar{\Delta}) = (1 + c_2/k, 1 + c_2/k). \quad (9)$$

Hence, when k is negative - that is meaningful for noncompact groups - the given perturbation is to be classified as relevant; whereas for positive k one should refer to an irrelevant perturbation.

Given the perturbation one can try to calculate the renormalization beta function associated with the coupling ϵ . Away of criticality, where $\epsilon \neq 0$, the beta function is defined according to [9-11]

$$\beta = [(2 - (\Delta + \bar{\Delta}))\epsilon - \pi C \cdot \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)], \quad (10)$$

where $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ are given by eq. (9). The C is taken here to be the coefficient of the three point function

$$\langle O(z_1) O(z_2) O(z_3) \rangle = \frac{C}{|z_{12}|^{\Delta+\bar{\Delta}} |z_{13}|^{\Delta+\bar{\Delta}} |z_{23}|^{\Delta+\bar{\Delta}}} \quad (11)$$

when the two point functions are normalized to unity.

Bearing in mind the definition of O we obtain the following expression for the coefficient C

$$C = C_{\phi\phi\phi}^{a\bar{a} \, b\bar{b} \, c\bar{c}} C_{JJJ}^{abc} C_{\bar{J}\bar{J}\bar{J}}^{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}}. \quad (12)$$

where, respectively, the coefficient C_{JJJ} and $C_{\bar{J}\bar{J}\bar{J}}$ coincide with the coefficient of the three point functions of the currents J and $\bar{J}$; whereas $C_{\phi\phi\phi}$ is the coefficient of the three point function of the operator ϕ . The coefficients C_{JJJ} and $C_{\bar{J}\bar{J}\bar{J}}$ can be easily extracted from the affine current algebra

$$C_{JJJ}^{bcd} = i f^{bcd}, \quad C_{\bar{J}\bar{J}\bar{J}}^{\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}} = i f^{\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{d}}. \quad (13)$$

The coefficient $C_{\phi\phi\phi}$ in its turn is found from the four point function [12]

$$\langle \phi(\infty) \phi(1) g^{-1}(z) g(0) \rangle,$$

by looking at the most singular term in this function as $z \rightarrow 0$. In ref. 12 the coefficient $C_{\phi\phi\phi}$ is calculated in powers of $1/N$ for $G = SU(N)$. In the large $|k|$ limit we can argue that the coefficient $C_{\phi\phi\phi}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\phi\phi\phi}^{a\bar{a} \, c\bar{c} \, d\bar{d}} &= (1/c_2) \{ Tr(t^a[t^c, t^d]_-) \cdot Tr(t^{\bar{a}}[t^{\bar{c}}, t^{\bar{d}}]_-) \\ &+ Tr(t^a[t^c, t^d]_+) \cdot Tr(t^{\bar{a}}[t^{\bar{c}}, t^{\bar{d}}]_+) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining formulas (13), (14) in eq. (12) we get C in the form

$$C = c_2. \quad (15)$$

Note that the coefficient C in eq. (15) corresponds to the operator O normalized to one so that all coefficients like $(\dim G)^2$ are dropped out.

With the given expression for C the equation for the beta function takes the form

$$\beta = \frac{-2c_2}{k}\epsilon - \pi c_2 \epsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \quad (16)$$

One can easily solve this equation to find fixed points of the beta function. There are two solutions

$$\epsilon_1 = 0, \quad \epsilon_2 = -2/(\pi k). \quad (17)$$

The first one is nothing but Witten's conformal point of the WZNW model with negative k ; whereas the second solution signifies a conformal point in the WZNW model with positive k . Indeed the σ -model coupling constant at the second conformal point is

$$\lambda_2^* = -4\pi/k = 4\pi/|k|. \quad (18)$$

It is well known that the WZNW model with the given coupling constant is an exact theory [2].

So, the expansion of the WZNW model around Witten's critical point allowed us to discover a flow between conformal solutions with positive and negative k . It might be very interesting to check what is going on in higher orders of the perturbation theory because the value of λ in eq. (18) corresponds to lowest order in $1/k$.

We can compute also the Virasoro central charge at the second fixed point by using Cardy-Ludwig formula [10]

$$c(\epsilon_2) = c(\epsilon_1) + \frac{8c_2}{k^3}. \quad (19)$$

So, when k is negative - that corresponds to the case of the relevant perturbation - the central charge becomes lower along the flow from Witten's conformal fixed point to the second nontrivial conformal point. Such behavior one might expect for unitary flows according to the Zamolodchikov's c-theorem [13].

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank G. Mussardo for fruitful conversations. I thank also J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill for careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. P. Novikov, Usp. Mat. Nauk **37** (1982) 3.
- [2] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **92** (1984) 455.
- [3] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B247** (1984) 83.
- [4] D. Gepner and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B278** (1986) 493.
- [5] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **37B** (1971) 95.
- [6] M. Bos, Ann. of Phys. **181** (1988) 177; B. de Wit, M. T. Grisaru and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *The WZNW model at two loops*, THU-93-15, ITP-SB-93-353, BRX-TH-349, hep-th/9307027.
- [7] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov, A. Morozov, M. Olshanetsky and S. Shatashvili, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5** (1990) 2495.
- [8] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1984) 333.
- [9] K. J. Wilson and J. Kogut, Phys. Rep. **12C** (1974) 75; J. M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C: Solid State **7** (1974) 1046.
- [10] J. L. Cardy and A. W. W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. **B285** (1987) 687.
- [11] J. L. Cardy, *in* Phase transition and critical phenomena, v. 11, eds. C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic Press, 1987); A. B. Zamolodchikov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **44** (1986) 529.
- [12] A. N. Redlich, Phys. Lett. **B217** (1989) 129.
- [13] A.B. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **43** (1986) 730.